# Case 1:04-cv-00089-BRW Document 1 Filed 10/28/04 Page 1 of 21 FORM TO BE USED BY PRISONERS IN FILING A COMPLAINT UNDER THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, 42 U.S.C. § 1983

	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  DIVISION EASTERN DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS
Richard DeLon (Enter above the plaintiff in	
Social Security	——————————————————————————————————————
Prisoner ID No.	88100
Correctional M.  Kimberly Emery  Dr. T. Bailey  (Enter above the defendant, or details action.)	e full name of ORIGINAL COPY
I. Previous L	
A. Have deali Yes _	you begun other lawsuits in state or federal court ag with the same facts involved in this action?  No
<u>used</u> . addit same	r answer to A is yes, describe each lawsuit in the below including the exact plaintiff name or alias (If there is more than one lawsuit, describe the ional lawsuits on another piece of paper, using the outline.)
	Parties to this lawsuit
	Defendants: _ NA
2.	Court (if federal court, name the district; if state, name the county:

,		3.	Docket Number:
		4.	Name of judge to whom case was assigned:
		5.	Disposition (for example: Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?
		6.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit:
		7.	Approximate date of disposition:
II.	Plac	e of	Present Confinement:
III.	<u>Fail</u>	ure t	a written prisoner grievance procedure in the Department of Correction and in your county jail. o complete the grievance procedure may affect your ederal court.
	A.	Did the s	you present the facts relating to your complaint in state or county written prisoner grievance procedure?  No
	B.	show:	our answer is YES, Attach copies of the most recent ten grievance(s)/response(s) relating to your claims ing completion of the grievance procedure. FAILURE TACH THE REQUIRED COPIES MAY RESULT IN THE DISMISSAL OUR COMPLAINT.
	c.	If yo	our answer is NO, explain why not:
IV.	Parti	ies	
	(In i	tem A prese	below, place your name in the first blank and place ent address in the second blank.
	A.		of plaintiff: Richard DeLon Day
		Addre	ss: Maximum Security Unit - 2501 State Farm Rd. Ker, AR 72168

(In Item B below, place the <u>full</u> name of the defendant in the first blank, his official position in the second blank, his place of employment in the third blank, and his address in the fourth blank.)

В.	Defendant: Kimberly Emery
	Position: Dental Assistant
	Place of Employment: North Central Unit
	Address: HC62 Box 300 - Calico Rock, AR 72519
	Defendant: Cash Cherry
	Position: Medical Administrator
	Place of Employment: North Central Unit
	Address: HC62 Box 300 - Calico Rock, AR 72519
	Defendant: Dr. T. Bailey
	Position: Dentist
	Place of Employment: North (entra) Unit
	Address: HCG BOX 300 - Calico Rock, AR 72519
	Defendant: Correctional Medical Services (CMS)
	Position: Private Corporation
	Place of Employment: Arkonsus Dept. of Correction
	Address: Pine Bluff, AR - P.O. Box 8707 - 71611
	Defendant:
	Position:
	Place of Employment:
	Address:

#### V. Statement of Claim

State here as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved. Include also the names of other persons involved, dates, and places. Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. (Use as much space as you need. Attach extra sheets if necessary.)

This a civil rights action filed by based Richard Delon Day \$8500, a State prisoner in Arkansas Department of Correction, for damages under 42 U.S. C. \$1983, alleging denial of dental care in violation of the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution, and denial of Equal Protection of the laws in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, and the plaintiff also alleges a Claim of medical negligence against the defendants named as parties to this action.

Relief

VI. Relief

State briefly exactly what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments. Cite no cases or statutes.

Wherefore, plaintiff requests that the Court grant the following relief: (A). Howard Special Damages against Defendants C.M.S., Dr. T. Bailey, Ms. K. Emery, and C. Cherry, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Pro-Cedure (G). The Defendants breached a Consensual Contract, which also in-(continued on page 12)

I declare under penalty of perjury (18 U.S.C. §1621) that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 24th day of October, 22004.

Richard O. Doll Signature of Plaintiff page 5 Statement of Claim"

(DOn or around 9-20-02 I began experiencing an extremely painful toothache, therefore, I turned in a Sick Call request and was seen on 9-24-Od by Nurse Skull. Some pain medication was prescribed by Nurse-Skull and she placed me on a list to be seen by a dentist. A few days passed and I used up all the medication, so I filled out another sick callslip and I was seen again on 10-2-02 by a nurse. During this visit I was informed that Correctional Medical Services had failed to provide the North Central Unit with a Dentist to fulfill my dental needs, therefore, I was scheduled to be seen by Physician Assistant (A.P.N.). On 10-4-02 A.P.N. La Fevers examined me and referred me to the Dental Assistant Ms. Emery. Ms. Emery. took some X-rays of my teeth and told me that I'd be seen by a dentist as soon as C.M.S. provided a dentist for the North Central Unit, because at that time, no dentist had been obtained to service the dental needs of the Unit. The Dental Assistant assured me that I would not have to endure the pain much longer, but that I would have to endure the pain much longer, but that I would have to endure the pain until a dentist was obtained.

(a) It should be noted that Correctional Medical Services is a private corporation who has a contract with the Arkansas Department of Correction to provide adequate medical and dental treatment for inmates housed within the ADC. Their failure to ensure that the ADC was provided with a dentist for the North Central Unit constitutes a breach of duty and medical negligence, especially when inmates such as myself had to endure pain and suffering due to their breaches and negligence. Additionally, there is a dental department at the Diagnostic Unit which regularly services any Inmates who are housed at units which have no dental department, however, no arrangements were made to transport any inmates to the diagnostic unit during the time period that they failed to provide the unit with a dentist, clespite the fact that inmates were, from time to time, sent to the diagnostic unit for other medical purposes, from the North Central Unit. Therefore, C.M.S. and its employee staff who are

. Page 6 of 21

Statement of Claim

parties to this action, had options other than to simply allow Plaintiff to suffer with a toothache, yet, by failing to use these options the defendants showed deliberate indifference and reckless disregard to plaintiff's condition. These Defendants also violated the Plaintiff's right to Equal Protection of the laws, because during the time period that they failed to provide North Central Unit with a dentist, other inmates' dental needs were adequately serviced at the Diagnostic U-

dentist, other inmates clental needs were adequately serviced at the Diagnostic unit, but Plaintiff was denied treatment. Therefore, defendants have violated Plaintiff's

Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment U.S. Constitutional rights, and Plaintiff was subjected

to physical pain and suffering due to their violations.

(3) Plaintiff and the ADC share a joint and several contract with C.M.S. for them to provide adequate medical and dental treatment, as well as an Implied Contract, and a Unilateral Contract that adequate medical and dental treatment be provided also. Prior to Plaintiff being seen at Sick Call on 9-24-02 und 10-2-02, Plaintiff signed a Consensual Contract to be treated by Medical staff which is a standard Health Service Request Form (see Exhibit B). Notice that the ADC is Jointly and Severally a partner to this contract between C.M.S., and Plaintiff. Therefore, Medical staff's failure to provide plaintiff with adequate dental treatment has also breached contractual obligations concerning the Contracts named in this paragraph, and Plaintiff has the right to assert a claim for such breaches of contract under the Contract Clause in Article I (one), Section 10 (ten) of the United States Constitution. Defendants have also breached a Special Contract, due to the fact that to the duties of C.M.S. are well established and the reciprocal rights of the parties to such a contract, and the obligations of parties to such a contract, need not be in writing as distinguished from a contract which must be made out. Plaintiff will assert this claim under Special Damages, and as a matter of substantive law, so that the Court is aware of Plaintiff's intentions.

(4) On 11-19-02 I saw my name on the list for a dental appointment and I was hoping that the pain was about to end, however, I was only scheduled

to have my teeth cleaned by the dental hygienist. The dental hygienist told me that I needed one of my teeth pulled, and another one needed to be diagnosed for filling or extraction, and I told her that I was already scheduled for the extraction, or so I thought. The hygienist also made a note in my medical record that she had observed the need for this extraction, and she verbally instructed the Dental Assistant Ms. Emery to have me scheduled for an extraction. Ms. Emery told her that my name was on the list and that I'd just have to wait until it came up for an appointment.

(5) Throughout the entire time extending from 9-20-02 to until the tooth was finally extracted, I had been in so much pain that some nights I could not sleep at all, and some nights when I did fall askep I'd wake up in the middle of the night because the tooth was hurting so badly. This greatly affected my everyday habits and work schedule because the medication wasn't keeping the pain away. I took so much pain medication that it sometimes made me sick to my stomach, and after my body got use to it, it no longer eased the pain at all, in fact, even when it did work, after the effects wear off, the pain was even greater than it was before I took the medication. Sometimes I could not eat, because certain bods served in the dining hall were too hard, or tough, or hot, or cold, and since I have no back teeth on the top right side of my mouth, I had to chew my food on the left side of my mouth where the decayed tooth was located. This made eating a painful experience because sometimes even the softest foods hurt or irritate a grossly decayed tooth.

(6) Finally, On 12-23-02, I filed grievance #NCU-02-2862 (see Exhibit A(1)) because I was totally fatigued from pain. I couldn't take anymore procrastination from medical staff, because everytime I talked to someone they told me that I'd be seen when my name came up for an appointment. On 12-27-02, I

was interviewed for my grievance by Ms. Linda Hunt, and she seemed to treat the matter with a sense of urgence, because she immediately sent me to the dental department following our conversation. Inside the dentist's office were Ms. Emery and Dr. Bailey, and it was obvious from their attitudes that they were purturbed about the grievance I had written on 12-23-02. They told me that I could get the tooth extracted on that day, but they said it would have to be done right then, before lunch, because the dentist prefers doing extractions before eating lunch, for whatever reasons. I told them that I wanted to get it done, but that I needed to eat something before having the tooth pulled, because I had not eaten anything on that day. They were indifferent to my request, and arrogantly responded by telling me that I could either do it right then or wait until the dentist returned after the Christmas and New Year's holidays, (7) The need to eat is a basic human need, therefore, it's only natural that I needed to eat something before getting the tooth extracted, because for one, as I've already stated in Paragraph (5) I have no teeth on the top right side of my mouth so I have to chew my food on the left side, which is where the extraction was needed. Obviously, after an extraction, the mouth will be sore and bleeding, and numb on the side where the tooth was extracted, therefore, it would be a very hard task trying to eat immediately after an extraction under such circumstances. However, in Ms. Linda Hunt's C.M.S. Kesponse to the grievance I wrote on 12-23-02 (see Exhibit A(3)), She stated that I was given the option to have the tooth extracted, but I decided to wait a week or so. Under "Recommendations" Ms. Hunt stated "Please follow the dentists recommendations and have the tooth pulled on your next scheduled visit. She said stated these comments as if I was the one to blume, and as if the appointment on 12-27-02 was a scheduled appointment. Due to the fact that Dr. Bailey and Ms. Emery would not let me eat prior to getting the tooth pulled on 12-27-02, Plaintiff had to wait until 1-7-03 to get the tooth ex-

an attitude of hostility toward me because of the grievance which was filed against the dental department, in fact, Ms. Emery even stated that I should consider waving the grievance because I didn't have to file it in the first place. It was their affitude which also contributed to my reason for not wanting to get the tooth extracted right then and there, because I definitely did not want a hostile dentist and dental assistant operating on my mouth, and I don't think anyone else would. It especially seemed seemed to agitate them that I would not waive the grievance despite their requesting that I did so. (8) During the session on 12-27-02, I also learned that Dr. T. Bailey had reviewed the X-rays which were taken on October 4,2002, and he had determined that I desperately needed to have my tooth extracted sometime in the month of October shortly after taking the position as dentist. Therefore, he should have made sure that an appointment was made to have the tooth extracted upon reviewing the X-ray photos on the date that the determination was made, but Dr. Bailey's failure to do so caused the Plaintiff to suffer all the way until January. Kimberly Emery was the dental assistant and the one responsible for recording scheduled appointments, and seeing to it that these appointments were kept on their scheduled elates. Dr. Bailey was the clentist and he should have made sure that Ms. Emery scheduled the appointment in accordance with his own schedule. Also, since Dr. Bailey is a dentist, and he was the one who reviewed my X-rays, he is the one with the most direct knowledge of the pain I must have been feeling, yet the failed to secure a scheduled appointment, even after the dental hygienist made a note in my medical records of her own observations on 11-19-02. This is a demonstration of reckless disregard and deliberate indifference, due to the prolonged nePuge·10 Case 1:04-cv-00089-BRW Document 1 Filed; 10/28/04 Page 10 of 21

Statement of Claim

gligence and repeated acknowledgments of serious dental needs, without tuking necessary action to relieve Plaintiff's painful condition.

(4) Cash Cherry was the Infirmary Medical Administrator, and it's his responsibility to review all infirmary records, documents, and serious medical needs, Administrative Regulation 833 refers to his position as a provider, and stipulates that as an administrator he is to provide health care services for inmates. His position is to clearly make sure all infirmary operations are handled efficiently and success fully, therefore, in all actuality, during the time that North Central Unit was without the services of a clentist, it was Cash Cherry who should have coordinated C.M.S. and the ADC's resources, to make sure that inmates in need of serious dental treatment were sent to the Diagnostic Unit for such treatment. He should have personally kept a record of all the inmates in need of dental care, and as soon as a dentist was provided for the unit, he should have made sure that dental needs were serviced according to the seriousness of the need and how long the need had been on record. Whether this is to be considered as negligence on deliberate indifference ultimately is a decision which the Court must make, however, Plaintiff feels that when a person has a responsibility to keep up with records, and those records reflect that the need for dental care has been addressed and acknowledged on two or more occassions, and those records have come across his desk two or more times, failure to properly ensure that such serious dental needs are consiclered as priority, even after three months, constitutes a deliberate indifference claim.

(10) As already stated in paragraph (2) of this action, Correctional Medical Services is a private corporation who has a contract with the ADC

to provide adequate medical and dental treatment for inmutes. Their failure to ensure that the ADC was provided with a dentist for the North Central Unit constitutes a breach of duty and medical negligence. The Court is, however, only to hold Cim.s. as being liable for their breaches of duty for the amount of time which they failed to provide North Central Unit with a dentist, and not for the three month time period which Plaintiff was denied clental treatment. Plaintiff does not wish to paint a fictitious picture of the violations of C.M.S., but Plaintiff does wish to be compensated for those actions incurring liability, on the part of C.M.S. Therefore, due to the fact that Plaintiff signed a Consensual Contract, which also entailed contructual obligations to an Implied Contract, a Joint and Several Contract, a Unilateral Contract, a Special Contract, and a Subcontract, Plaintiff closs assert claims to the breaches of such contractual obligations under the provisions of the Contract Clause in Article I, section 10 of the United States Constitution, against Correctional Medical Services, and all other defendants who are parties to this action including Dr. T. Bailey, Ms. Kimberly Emery, and Cash Cherry. Each has respectively breached contractual obligations in violation of Article I, Section 10 of the U.S. Constitution and shall be held liable for their respective breaches. (1) Correctional Medical Services, in it's Official Capacity, and its employees

(11) Correctional Medical Services, in 173 Official Capacity, and 173 employees who are parties to this action, in their Official and Personal Capacities, have exhibited deliberate indifference and/or medical negligence by denying the Plaintiff adequate clental treatment, therefore, they have caused the Plaintiff to suffer pain, needless and unnecessary pain to be precise. This fact establishes that the Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment rights were violated because Plaintiff was subjected to cruel and unusual punishment for a

time exceeding three (3) months. During this three month time period, there were other inmates who received adequate dental treatment within the ADC, even while North Central Unit did not have a dentist. After a dentist was provided by C.M.S. at the Unit, other inmates were treated at the Unit, some of whom had not been on the list awaiting treatment as long as the Plaintiff. All of these facts constitute that the Plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment rights to Equal Protection of the laws has also been violated in addition to Plaintiff being subjected to cruel and unusual punishment.

(12) Deliberate indifference can be proved by measuring the adequive showing a prison official's mental state. But deliberate indifference is also a standard for measuring adequacy of prison officials' responses to the known medical needs of inmates and their system for allowing inmates to make their needs known. While intentional or reckless denial of treatment may constitute deliberate indifference, denial of treatment regardless of mental state can also constitute deliberate indifference, when prison officials are aware of inmates dental needs they must not deny-intentionally or otherwise--care to them. This is established in the various formulations of the deliberate indifference standard. (Dean V. Coughlin, 623 F. Supp. 392 at 31 (S.D. N. y. 1985). Defendants Bailey, Emery, and Cherry have exhibited deliberate indifference by denying Plaintiff care for serious dental needs. (Conclusion of this section)

VI. "Relief" (continued from page 4)

Cluded Contractual obligations to an Implied Contract, a Special Contract, a Joint and Several Contract, a Unilateral Contract, and a Subcontract. Plaintiff asserts the claim for Special Damages, also, as a violation of Article I, Section 10 of the United States

Constitution, under the provisions of the Contract Clause. Plaintiff requests Monetary damages in no specific amount, so long as the amount awarded adequately Charges the defendants for their respective breaches of contractual obligations. Plaintiff would like for the Court to acknowledge that the defendants are paid very well, by the Department of Correction and the State of Arkansas, to provide inmates with adequate medical and dental treatment, therefore, deliberate indifference and/or medical negligence does not sufficiently fulfill the requirements of such contractual obligations, and the amount of money paid to the defendants during their breaches should be an issue to be considered when deciding the award of monetary damages, because Plaintiff suffered physical pain, mental and emotional anguish for over three (3) months due to their breaches. Each defendant should be held liable for their respective breaches Jointly and Severally.

B) Award Compensatory Damages Jointly and Severally against:

(1) Defendant Correctional Medical Services for every day which Plaintiff had to suffer due to their failure to provide North Central Unit with a dentist. The amount of monetary damages requested as Compensatory Damages should adequate by compare to the amount that C.M.S. was paid to provide adequate dental and medical treatment for inmates within the ADC.

(2) Defendant Dr. T. Bailey to compensate the Plaintiff for everyday that I had to suffer physical pain, and emotional and mental anguish, after Dr. Bailey was hired to service the North Central Unit's dental needs. The amount of monetary damages awarded should adequately compare to the amount that Dr., Bailey was paid during the time he was on staff, while the Plaintiff suffered. As a dentist, he knows, or reusonably should know, that decayed teeth cause excrutiating pain, and if left unattended, decayed teeth can become infected and poison the brain, causing brain damage or even fatality.

(3) Defendant Dental Assistant K. Emery to compensate the Plaintiff for every day that I had to suffer after Dr. Builey was hired to service inmates

dental needs at the North Central Unit. The amount of monetary damages awarded should adequately compare to the amount of money which Ms, Emery was paid during the time Dr. T. Bailey was on staff while the Plaintiff suffered physical pain, emotional and mental anguish. The Plaintiff was seen by dental staff (Ms. Emery) on 10-4-02 and X-rays were taken. Plaintiff was again seen on 11-19-02 by Ms. Emery and the Dental Hygienist, who again noted that the decayed tooth needed to be extracted. Ms. Emery should have made sure that Plaintiff's dental needs were treated as soon as possible.

(4) Defendant Medical Administrator Cash Cherry to compensate Plain-tiff for EVERY DAY that the Plaintiff suffered physical pain, mental and emotional anguish, from the day that the Plaintiff was first seen at Sick Call on 9-24-02, to the day that the Plaintiff's tooth was extracted on or around 1-7-03. Plaintiff specifically makes this request due to the fact that Mr. Cherry had the power to transport Plaintiff to the Diagnostic Unit for the tooth to be extracted, even before C.M.S. provided the North Central Unit with a dentist. Also, he should have made it priority to Service the needs of the Plaintiff immediately upon the arrival of Dr. Bailey, however, Mr. Cherry failed to perform such duties. He allowed the Plaintiff to be subjected to physical pain and suffering for over 106 days before the tooth was extracted. The amount of monetary damages awarded should adequately compare to the amount of money Mr. Cherry was paid during the 106 day time period which he and his dental Staff failed to provide dental care for the Plaintiff.

O. Award Punitive/Exemplary Damages against:

(1) Defendant C.M.S. in an amount which adequately compares to the amount of money which they were being paid during the time of their breaches, that will sig-

nificantly Serve as a reminder that such breaches, whether intentional or accidental, could cost a person their life and it could cost C.M.S. alot of money.

(2) Defendant Dr. T. Builey, in an amount which adequately compares to the amount he was paid during the time of his official/personal breaches and violations.

(3) Defendant K. Emery, in an amount which adequately compares to the amount she was paid during the time of her official/personal breaches and violations.

(4) Defendant C. Cherry, in an amount which adequately compares to the amount of money that he was paid during the time of his official/personal breaches and violations.

D. Award Substantial Damages against Detendants C.M.S., Dr.T. Bailey, K. Emery, and C. Cherry, jointly and severally, for their breaches and violations causing the plaintiff physical pain and suffering, mental and emotional anguish. Plaintiff was forced to endure nights without sleeping and pain while eating due to these defendants failure to act for over 10b days. Plaintiff requests a total sum of the severally paid according to the severity of each defendants Violations and breaches. \$106,000.00 is a considerable amount of money, however, considering the fact that defendants allowed the Plaintiff to suffer over 106 days from the pains of a decayed tooth, which could have been simply extracted at the outset by sending the Plaintiff to the Diagnostic Unit, this is a reasonable sum. Additionally, during the 106 days which Plaintiff suffered other inmutes within the ADC received treatment for their dental needs, even at the same Unit where the

(E) Award Direct Damages against Defendants C.M.S., Dr. T. Bailey, K. Emery, and C. Cherry, due to their breaches of contractual obligations. The amount awarded should adequately compare to the amount of money they were paid during the time of their breaches and violations, jointly and severally.

Plaintiff was being housed once a dentist was obtained, therefore, Substantial Damages is

an amount which properly addresses this particular situation.

Page 16 Case 1:04-cv-00089-BRW Document 1 Filed 10/28/04 Page 16 of 21

(F.) Plaintiff requests that the Court grant such other relief as it may appear that plaintiff is rightfully entitled.

Date: October 24,2004

Respectfully submitted,
Richard DeLon Day, Jr. #88100
Maximum Security Unit
2501 State Farm Road
Tucker, AR 72168

Filed 10/28/04 | Page 17 of 21 | X h | h | H | H |

C-800-5

DEC 23 MIV.

## GRIEVANCE FORM UNIT/CENTER North Central

ATTACHMENT I

For Office Use Only
# 1/CU-0 2 - 286 2

Jorin Gen	<sub>MES</sub> : (See	vcenter <u>North</u>	Central	# <u>//cu-o 2 - 286</u> /2-23-o 2 Date Received	600
NAN	ME (Please Print) Rich	ard Day		ADC# <u>88100</u>	<del></del> .
BAR	racks 3	JOB ASSI	GNMENT Barrac	ks Porter	
Have DES	e you discussed this proble CRIPTION OF THE PRO	om with your immediated DBLEM: That	e supervisor? YES 1/1 been Suffering	no_nature or from a severe	toothache
for over the thinking for claimed to be reschedule me	hree months from or a while that they so far behind tha on several occas	the Same tooth clidn't have a clid I had to be plicans but they ke	. Infirmary statential for this unitated on a waiting that who saying that who	f, both clental and a t. Then after they o ng list. I've asked en my name comes	nedical, had got one they for them to up they win
See me. Now	the pain is so	excruciating and	d Intense that In	n left with no alter	native but
Inma Meeds extracting	ite Signature <u>Kichwa</u> ig US Soon US PC	il Our ossible.	· /	in medication take e after it wears of Date 12-23-02	
				rovide Explanation) Thus	
periods it can p not even steet	t and it's Common Doison the brain an some nights havin	Knowledge Medica Id result in Pata 5 to endure withou	lly that it a tooth lity. It is also very at any escape.	ris in this condition painful, so much s	<u>a tor prolong</u> o that I can
(An e decla form deliv Assis	emergency situation is one used for ordinary problems to any officer or department to the control of the contro	in which you may be s that are not of a seriou ent employee who shall to the ARO, the Warder LS: If you are harmed of	subject to a substantial risks nature.) If you marked sign the attached emerge	sk of physical harm. It sho I yes, you may give this con ency receipt, give you the re in their absence, to the Unit, your use of the grievance	uld not be inpleted eceipt, and
(To b	be filled out by Receiving	Officer) RECEIPT FOR EM	IERGENCY SITUATION	ons <sup>HEALT</sup>	
OFF	ICER (Please Print)	Col, J. Her	Pris	-117 SE	PVICES
FRO	M WHICH INMATE?	DAY, R.		ADC# 88100	
DAT	E /2-23-	<u>02</u> T	IME <u>0,57A</u>	ra	
			<i>1.1</i>		

Signature of Receiving Officer

Case 1:04-cv-00089-BRW

Document 1

Filed 10/28/04 | Page 18 of 21

INMATE NAME Day, R.	ADC#_88100	GRIEVANCE# NCU- 02 -2862
---------------------	------------	--------------------------

### WARDEN'S/CENTER SUPERVISOR'S DECISION

I have determined that your grievance is a medical matter. I have forwarded your grievance to the Medical Admisistrator who will provide a written response, and/or will interview you within twenty working days of the date I received your grievance. Should you receive no response within this time frame, or the response that you received is unsatisfactory, you may appeal to the Deputy Director for Health and Correctional programs. If you have medical needs that you believe are urgent, put in a Sick Call Request, or send a Request for an Interview to the Medical Administrator.

If you appeal this grievance, you need to attach the Infirmary Manager's response to your appeal. Otherwise the grievance may have to be returned to you for this information before it can be answered.

Signature of ARO or Warden's/Supervisor's Designee

Tille

12/24/02 Date

### **INMATE'S APPEAL**

If you are not satisified with this response, you may appeal this decision within ten days by filling in the information requested below and mailing it to the appropriate Deputy/Assistant Director. Keep in mind that you are appealing the decision to the original compliant. Do not list additional issues which are not a part of your compliant.

provide a reason for my medical/dental needs being ignored for a three month time period. She did say that, on 11-19-02 the dental hygienist documented that 1 15 needs extracted and 13 needs diagnosis by DDS for filling or extraction). However the hygienist did not bring this to the dental assistants attention so that you could be scheduled. This excuse does not explain why prior to 11-19-02 I was not scheduled when I was seen on 9-24-02 for the same problem. I believe that someone has forgotten to do their job long before 11-19-02. Her recommendation is for me to have the tooth Pulled on my next scheduled visit, but what about the three months that I suffered from not being able to get the tooth Pulled?

Kichard Day Inmate Signature

\_**0**55100 adc# 1-4-03 Date

Received

we a company of the

Grievance #: NCU-02-2862		]		
Inmate: Day, Richard		ADC# 08	8100	DOB: 04-10-1971
Facility: NCU		Barracks: 3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Ltr Date: 12-23-02	Date Infirmary Recv	d: 12-23-02	Respon	se Date: 01-03-03
	<u> </u>			
Interview: 🛛 Required (Dat	e) 12-27-02	Deferred (sta	ate why)	
Inmate's Complaints: (Code	•		<u>-</u>	
				months from the same tooth, infirmary
				entist for this unit. Then after they got
				sked for them to re-schedule me on
several occasions but they ke	eep saying that when r	my name comes u	they will se	e me. Now the pain is so excruciating
and intense that I'm left with	no alternative but to w	rite this grievance I	hoping that I	may speed up the process. Pain
medication taken on a regula	ir basis nauseates me	and only makes th	e pain three	times worse after it wears off. This
tooth needs extracting as soc	on as possible."			
				7
Response:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Your medical record reveals	that you reported to sid	ck call on 09-24-02	with compla	nints of a toothache. The nurse issued
				2 you were seen again at sick call for
				red to see J. LaFevers APN. On 10-04-
02 Ms LaFevers recommend				
On 11-19-02 you saw the der	ntal hygienist who docu	uments (#15 needs	extracted a	nd #13 needs diagnosis by DDS for
7				's attention so that you could be
scheduled. I apologize for the				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
				spection revealed gross decay, # 15,
				so, Motrin 800 mg., to take three times
a day for six days as needed				so, mean cos mg, to take allos allos
Recommendations:			······································	
Please follow the dentist's red L. Hunt 01-	commendations and ha	ave the tooth pulled	d on your ne	xt scheduled visit.
/				
Responding Staff:	Date			
Original - ADC Grievance Off	icer			
Copy - Inmate			<b>–</b>	_
Copy - File	Fo	llow Up Required?	No ⊠ Yes	i

ReceiveD

HEALTH SERVICES

Back of Attachment II

	Day, Richard		Tradelineni II
INDE		88100	Mona
INMATE NAME	<del></del>	ADG	NC02-2862
		ADC GRIE	VANCE
<b></b>			

# DEPUTY/ASSISTANT DIRECTOR'S DECISION

I have reviewed your grievance, appeal, the medical record and your dental record. I found that were seen in Sick Call on 9/24/02 for the complaint of a toothache. The nurse issued you Ibuprofen per the nursing protocol and referred you to the dental department. On 10/2/02 you were seen again in Sick Call for the same complaint. Again, Ibuprofen was recommended and you were referred to the APN. Ms. La Fevers completed her exam and recommended that you be seen by the dentist.

You were seen by the dental hygienist and it was documented that #15 needs extracted and #13 needs diagnosis by the dentist for filling or extraction. However, the hygienist failed to bring this to the attention of the dental assistant.

Dr. Bailey examined you December 27, 2002 and advised that #15 tooth needed extracted. You refused and decided to wait for a week or so. He prescribed Motrin 800mg to take three times a day for six days, as needed for pain.

Due to the delay in being seen by the dentist I find this grievance to have merit. I would suggest that you follow Dr. Bailey's recommendation and have the tooth extracted. I will forward a copy of your grievance to the CMS Director of Dental Services for corrective action.

1-28-03 DATE

Please be advised that if you appeal this decision to the U. S. District Court a copy of this Deputy/Assistant Director must be attached to any petition or complaint or the Court must dismiss your case without notice. You shall also be subject to paying filing fees pursuant to the Prison

Document 1 Filed 10/28/04 Page 21 of 21

ARKANSAS	~		XUMOIT O		
DEPARTMENT OF COR	PRECTION	/D-:: 44/00)	₩ Una	ulth Camilaa D	MSF-2
Name (Last, First, MI)	(ILCTION)	(Rev 11/99) ADC#:	Date of Birth:	alth Service R	Date of Requi
Job Assignment				<u> </u>	
Description of the proble	m:				
•					
					<del></del>
I consent to be treated by	the Health Se	rvices Staff for the co	ndition described: /	Pha & 1	si dan diam
					· Capi
	P IN THE MEDICA	L BOX OR DESIGNATED		VRITE BELOW TI	HIS WHE
List Protocol(s) Below:		NURSING DOCUMEN	TATION		
1)	2)		3)		
Subjective Data					
					* ********
<del></del>					
Objective Data: BP:	Pulse:	Respirations:	Temperati	ure:	Weight:
			——————————————————————————————————————		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		<u>-</u>			
	<u> </u>				
ssessment:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
·		····	<del></del>	<del></del>	*
lan by Nursing Care:					**
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>
				<del></del>	
	•				
dy System Code: (from pr	oblem list)	Patient Education: [] ਮ	andout [] Verbal Inst	ruction topic:	
		lental Health [] Dent			
	<u> </u>	Title: Un	Date		Time
ature					
		N	ame:		
		A	)C#:		
			ite of Birth:		
		SS			